



## Assignment 7 Semantics, WS 2013/14

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Steven Schäfer  
[www.ps.uni-saarland.de/courses/sem-ws13/](http://www.ps.uni-saarland.de/courses/sem-ws13/)

---

Read in the lecture notes: Chapter 2 & 3

---

### Repertoire

**Exercise 7.1 (IP.v)** Study the intersection model for inductive predicates at the example of the evenness predicate using Coq.

a) Define predicates  $D1$ ,  $D2$ , and  $DI$  such that

$$spec\ q := D1\ q \wedge D2\ q \wedge DI\ q$$

is a specification for evenness predicates.

b) Show that the specification  $spec$  has at most one solution up to equivalence.

c) Define  $DL$  and prove

$$DI\ p \rightarrow DL\ p \\ D1\ p \rightarrow D2\ p \rightarrow DL\ p \rightarrow DI\ p$$

d) Define the predicate

$$even\ n := \forall p. D1\ p \rightarrow D2\ p \rightarrow pn$$

and show that it satisfies the specification  $spec$ .

e) Prove the following facts.

- (i)  $even\ 4$
- (ii)  $\neg even\ 1$
- (iii)  $even\ (S(S\ n)) \rightarrow even\ n$
- (iv)  $even\ n \rightarrow \neg even\ (Sn)$

Hint: Use the tactic *refine* to apply the induction principle. Note that (ii) and (iv) can be shown with the induction principle  $L$ , while (iii) requires the induction principle  $BI$ .

f) Define an evenness predicate using an inductive definition and prove that it satisfies the specification  $spec$ .

**Exercise 7.2 (IP.v)** Let a type  $X$ , a predicate  $R : X \rightarrow X \rightarrow Prop$  and a point  $a : X$  be given. We define a predicate “ $R$  can reach  $a$  from  $x$ ” inductively:

$$\frac{}{reach\ R\ a\ a} \qquad \frac{Rxy \quad reach\ R\ a\ y}{reach\ R\ a\ x}$$

a) Define the predicate  $reach\ R\ a$  with the intersection method in Coq and show that it satisfies the base lemmas coming with the inference rules.

b) Define  $R^*$  with a native inductive definition in Coq and prove  $R^* \approx reach\ R$ .

**Exercise 7.3 (IP.v)** Let a type  $X$  and a predicate  $R : X \rightarrow X \rightarrow Prop$  be given. We define a predicate “ $R$  terminates on  $x$ ” inductively:

$$\frac{Rx \leq \text{ter } R}{\text{ter } R x}$$

- Define the predicate  $\text{ter } R$  with the intersection method in Coq and show that it satisfies the base lemmas coming with the inference rule.
- Define  $SN R$  with a native inductive definition in Coq and prove  $SN R \approx \text{ter } R$ .

**Exercise 7.4 (CL.v)** Study the definition of term, step, redex, termi, pstep and  $\rho$  and prove the following:

- $\forall s, \text{termi } s$
- $\text{dec}(\text{reducible step})$
- $\text{reflexive pstep}$
- $\text{step} \leq \text{pstep}$
- $s \geq^* s' \rightarrow t \geq^* t' \rightarrow st \geq^* s't'$
- $\text{pstep} \leq \text{step}^*$
- $\text{pstep}^* \approx \text{step}^*$
- $\text{triangle pstep } \rho \rightarrow \text{church\_rosser step}$
- $\text{triangle pstep } \rho$

## Extra

**Exercise 7.5 (IP.v)** Let  $X$  be a type and  $F : (X \rightarrow Prop) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow Prop)$  be a monotone predicate (i.e.,  $\forall p q. p \leq q \rightarrow Fp \leq Fq$ ). Find a predicate  $I$  such that you can prove the following. The intersection  $p \sqcap q$  abbreviates the predicate  $\lambda x. px \wedge qx$ .

- $Fp \leq p \rightarrow I \leq p$
- $FI \leq I$
- $FI \approx I$
- $p \leq I \rightarrow Fp \leq I$
- $F(I \sqcap p) \leq I$
- $F(I \sqcap p) \leq p \leftrightarrow I \leq p$

Hint: The problem is a translation of a special case of the Knaster-Tarski fixed point theorem from set theory to type theory. Google to find out more about the theorem and its proof. The proof of the Knaster-Tarski theorem is a classical example for the use of the intersection method in Mathematics.

## Challenge

**Exercise 7.6** Let  $R, S$  be  $SN$  with  $R \cup S$  transitive. Show that  $R \cup S$  is  $SN$ . You may use classical logic ( $\forall P, P \vee \neg P$ ).